

EXAM 5, FALL 2013

16. (2 points)

Given the following information:

| Accident | <u>Reported Claim Counts</u> | | |
|-------------|---|------------------|------------------|
| | <u>(excluding closed with no payment)</u> | | |
| <u>Year</u> | <u>12 Months</u> | <u>24 Months</u> | <u>36 Months</u> |
| 2010 | 291 | 274 | 273 |
| 2011 | 301 | 289 | |
| 2012 | 254 | | |

| Accident | <u>Reported Claims (\$000)</u> | | |
|----------|--------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | <u>12 Months</u> | <u>24 Months</u> | <u>36 Months</u> |
| 2010 | \$11,058 | \$12,330 | \$12,375 |
| 2011 | \$11,739 | \$13,005 | |
| 2012 | \$13,970 | | |

Assume no further development after 36 months.

Using a frequency-severity technique, estimate the IBNR for all accident years.

CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE

Exam 5 – Question #16 (example 1)

Cumulative Severity Triangle

| AY | 12 | 24 | 36 |
|----|--------|--------|--------|
| 10 | 38,000 | 45,000 | 45,330 |
| 11 | 39,000 | 45,000 | |
| 12 | 55,000 | | |

Age-to-age sev.

| AY | 12-24 | 24-36 |
|----------------|-------|-------|
| 10 | 1.184 | 1.007 |
| 11 | 1.154 | |
| Selected (avg) | 1.169 | 1.007 |

Age-to-Ult. Selections

| 12-ult. | 24-ult. | 36-ult. |
|---------|---------|---------|
| 1.177 | 1.007 | 1.000 |

Claim Count Age-to-Age

| AY | 12-24 | 24-36 |
|----------------|-------|-------|
| 10 | .942 | .996 |
| 11 | .960 | |
| Selected (avg) | .951 | .996 |

Claim count Age-to-Ult. Selections

| 12-ult | 24-ult | 36-ult |
|--------|--------|--------|
| .947 | .996 | 1.000 |

| AY | (1) Claim Count | (2) Reptd Severity | (3) Ult Claim Count | (4) Ult Sever. | (5) Ult. Loss | (6) Reported loss | (7) IBNR |
|----|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| 10 | 273 | 45,330 | 273 | 45,330 | 12,375 | 12,375 | 0 |
| 11 | 289 | 45,000 | 288 | 45,313 | 13,051 | 13,005 | 46,000 |
| 12 | 254 | 55,000 | 241 | 64,735 | 15,601 | 13,970 | 1,631,000 |

1,677,000

(3) = (1) x Age to Ult

(4) = (2) x Age to Ult

(5) = (3) x (4)

(7) = (5) – (6)

Exam 5 – Question #16 (example 2)

Claim counts LDFs

| AY | 12-24 | 24-36 |
|------|-------|-------|
| 2010 | 1.942 | 1.483 |
| 2011 | 1.96 | |
| LDFs | 1.95 | 1.483 |
| CDFs | 2.892 | 1.483 |

Cum. Claim Counts

| AY | 12 | 24 | 36 |
|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 2010 | 291 | 565 | 838 |
| 2011 | 301 | 590 | |
| 2012 | 254 | | |

Cumulative Severity

| AY | 12 | 24 | 36 |
|------|-------|-------|-------|
| 2010 | 38000 | 41395 | 42677 |
| 2011 | 39000 | 41939 | |
| 2012 | 55000 | | |

Severity LDFs

| AY | 12-24 | 24-36 |
|------|--------|-------|
| 2010 | 1.089 | 1.031 |
| 2011 | 1.075 | |
| LDFs | 1.082 | 1.031 |
| CDFs | 1.1157 | 1.031 |

2011 ult. Counts = $590 \times 1.483 = 874.97$

2012 ult. Counts = $254 \times 2.892 = 734.57$

2011 ult. Severity = $41939 \times 1.031 = 43239$

2012 ult. Severity = $55000 \times 1.1157 = 61363.5$

2011/2012 ult. Loss = $874.97 \times 43239 + 734.57 \times 61363.5 = 82908614$

IBNR = $82908614 - 38714000 = \$44194614$

Exam 5 – Question #16 (example 3)

Ult. Claims = frequency x severity = (ult. Claim counts) x (ult. Avg. severity)

Determine ult. Clm. Counts:

| AY | 12-24 | 24-36 | 36-ult. |
|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| 10 | .942 | .996 | 1.00 |
| 11 | .960 | | |
| Avg.: | .951 | .996 | 1.00 |
| CDF: | .947 | .996 | 1.00 |

| AY | Counts @ 12/31/12 | Count CDF | Ult. Count |
|----|----------------------|-----------|------------|
| 10 | 273 | 1.000 | 273 |
| 11 | 289 | .996 | 288 |
| 12 | 254 | .947 | 241 |

Determine avg. severities = rptclms/rptclm counts

| AY | 12 | 24 | 36 | Ult. Sev. |
|----|-------|-------|-------|--------------------|
| 10 | 38000 | 45000 | 45330 | 45330 |
| 11 | 39000 | 45000 | | 45000(1.007)=45315 |
| 12 | 55000 | | | 55000(1.177)=64735 |

Avg. Sev. Link ratios

| AY | 12-24 | 24-36 |
|-------|-------|-------|
| 10 | 1.184 | 1.007 |
| 11 | 1.154 | |
| Avg.: | 1.169 | 1.007 |
| CDF | 1.177 | 1.007 |

| AY | Rpt clms (1) | Sev (2) | Ult claim counts (3) | Ult clms(4) = 2 x 3 | IBNR (5) = 4-1 |
|----|-----------------|---------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| 10 | 12375 | 45330 | 273 | 12,375,090 | 90 |
| 11 | 13005 | 45315 | 288 | 13,050,720 | 45,720 |
| 12 | 13970 | 64735 | 241 | 15,601,135 | 1,631,135 |

Total = 1,676,945

16.

Many candidates received full credit on this question. Full credit was given for considering the claims as either incremental or cumulative as long as both the counts and dollars were both used as either cumulative or incremental.

Many candidates knew very well how the method works but were short of getting full credit because they did not show the derivation of Ultimate Claim Counts and Ultimate Severities as two essential components of the method. In the majority of cases, when graders were able to follow the candidate's logic, the candidate still received the full credit for listing components of the Ultimate Claim Counts and Ultimate Severities.

Some common mistakes that were made on this problem:

- Reported Claim Counts and Reported Claims (\$000) (a.k.a. Reported Losses) can be interpreted as Incremental or Cumulative but this interpretation should be consistent between both data triangles.
- Applying age-to-age factors (a.k.a. link ratios) instead of age-to-ultimate factors (a.k.a. cumulative development factors) to develop severity and claims count.
- Forgetting that the question asked about IBNR for all years and just calculate Ultimate Claims (\$000).

17.

Candidates did well on this question. Full credit for this question was given for calculating ultimate losses instead of IBNR. Candidates could also receive full credit for making assumption about the amount of paid losses to then calculate IBNR.

- a. A majority of the candidates received full credit on this part. Some candidates did apply the Bornhuetter-Ferguson method instead of the Benktander method, resulting in loss of some credit.
- b. Roughly half of the candidates received full credit on this part. With high level of iterations, the result will converge to the development technique. If candidates did not know that they did not receive credit on this part.