20. (3.75 points)

The following information is available for an insurance company:

	<u>C</u>	<u>Cumulative</u>					<u>Case Οι</u>	utstanding	
Accident		(\$000) as o	of (month	<u>s)</u>	Accident		(\$000) as	of (month	<u>s)</u>
<u>Year</u> 2010 2011 2012 2013	12 1,050 1,100 1,160 1,460	2 <u>4</u> 2,350 3,970 4,860	<u>36</u> 4,370 6,350	<u>48</u> 6,250	<u>Year</u> 2010 2011 2012 2013	12 520 600 730 920	2 <u>4</u> 2,200 1,270 770	3 <u>6</u> 1,790 690	4 <u>8</u> 1,500
		Closed Cla	aim Coun	<u>ts</u>			Open Cla	aim Count	<u>s</u>
Accident		(000) as o	f (months	<u>s)</u>	Accident		(000) as	of (months	<u>s)</u>
<u>Year</u>	<u>12</u> 5	<u>24</u> 7	<u>36</u>	<u>48</u> 13	<u>Year</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>36</u> 3	<u>48</u>
2010	5		10	13	2010	<u>12</u> 3	4	3	1
2011	5	9	12		2011	3	2	1	
2012	5	10			2012	3	1		
2013	6				2013	3			
Accident	Proje	ected Ultima	ate						
<u>Year</u>	Clain	n Counts (0	<u>00)</u>						
2010		13							
2011		13							
2012		13							
2013		13							

The interpolation of cumulative paid claims (in \$000s) by accident year (AY) is as follows:

Closed		Closed		Closed	
Claim	ΑY	Claim	AY	Claim	ΑY
<u>Counts</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>Counts</u>	<u> 2011</u>	<u>Counts</u>	2012
5	1,050	5	1,100	5	1,160
6	1,700	6	1,818	6	1,900
7	2,350	7	2,535	7	2,640
8	3,023	8	3,253	8	3,380
9	3,697	9	3,970	9	4,120
10	4,370	10	4,763	10	4,860
11	4,997	11	5,557		
12	5,623	12	6,350		
13	6,250				

- The selected annual severity trend rate for all maturities is 5%.
- Use an all-year simple average to determine age-to-age claim development factors.
- There is no development beyond 48 months.

Calculate an estimate of ultimate claims for accident year 2013 utilizing the reported Berquist-Sherman method with adjustments reflecting changes in both case outstanding and claim settlement rates.

EXAM 5 FALL 2014 SAMPLE ANSWERS AND EXAMINER'S REPORT

QUESTIC	ON 20										
TOTAL P	OINT VA	LUE: 3.7	5		LEARNING	LEARNING OBJECTIVE: B5					
SAMPLE	ANSWE	RS									
-		ed Triang e outstan	-		⊦ Adj	j Open Claim	ı Count x (Adj Avg Co	D)		
2010 2011 2012 2013	265 278 292 307	698 733 770	657 690	1500		Adjusted using 5% trend					
DR = Closed Claim Counts / Ult Claim Counts Restated Closed Claim Counts							S				
2010 2011 2012 2013	0.461	0.770	0.923 <- se	1.000	iagor	2010 2011 2012 nal 2013	6 6	10 10 10	12 12	13	
Adjusted Paid Triangle Adj Open CC = Reported – Adjusted								djusted			
2010 2011 2012 2013	1700 1818 1900 1460	4370 4763 4860	5623 6350	6250		2010 2011 2012 2013	2	1 1 1	1	1	
Adjusted Reported Triangle 1700 + 265 (2)											
2010 2011 2012 2013	2,230 2,374 2,484 2,380	5,068 5,496 5,630	6,280 7,040	7,750							

EXAM 5 FALL 2014 SAMPLE ANSWERS AND EXAMINER'S REPORT

```
ATA
           12-24 24-36
                           36-48
    2010
             2.27
                    1.239
                             1.234
            2.315
    2011
                    1.281
    2012
             2.27
            2.285
                      1.26
                             1.234
                                     <- All yr simple avg
CDF UIt
            3.553
Ult Claims = 2380 (3.553) = 8,456
```

EXAMINER'S REPORT

Candidates were expected to have an understanding of the Berquist-Sherman method and how to use it to adjust for environmental changes. They were expected to know the specific calculations/triangles required to calculate the adjusted ultimate.

The question was fairly challenging because it required the combination of two adjustments, which both include a large number of calculations/procedures that the candidate had to know and perform correctly.

Overall, most candidates received partial credit on this question. Most candidates who attempted the question received at least some partial credit. Very few candidates received full credit.

The most common mistake made by candidates was to not calculate and use an adjusted open count triangle. Other common mistakes included:

- Using the volume weighted average for the LDF selections, when the question specifically asked for a simple all-year average.
- Interpolating the paid triangle, when the interpolation table was provided (credit was still given for correct calculation via interpolation).
- Not combining the adjustments for case outstanding and claim settlements rates, and
 instead calculated two separate ultimates, when the question asked for one ultimate (or
 only doing one of the two calculation).
- Calculation errors.