

EXAM 5, FALL 2015

16. (2.75 points)

Claim #1

| | |
|------------------|---|
| January 4, 2012 | Accident occurs |
| May 1, 2012 | Claim is reported and opened with initial case outstanding of \$5,000 |
| December 1, 2012 | A payment of \$2,000 is made and case outstanding is reduced to \$4,000 |
| January 15, 2013 | Claim is closed with an additional payment of \$8,000 |
| June 1, 2013 | A deductible amount of \$1,000 is recovered on the claim |

Claim #2

| | |
|-------------------|---|
| December 1, 2012 | Accident occurs |
| January 15, 2013 | Claim is reported and opened with initial case outstanding of \$1,000 |
| January 31, 2014 | Case outstanding is reduced to \$500 |
| February 20, 2014 | Claim is closed with a total payment of \$3,000 |

Claim #3

| | |
|------------------|--|
| November 1, 2013 | Accident occurs |
| November 3, 2013 | Claim is reported and opened with initial case outstanding of \$10,000 |
| January 30, 2014 | Claim is closed without payment |

Claim #4

| | |
|---------------|---|
| July 15, 2014 | Accident occurs |
| July 17, 2014 | Claim is reported and opened with initial case outstanding of \$3,000 |

a. (1.75 points)

Using the claims data above, build the following cumulative development triangles with annual December 31 valuations:

- i. Report year reported claims net of any recoveries
- ii. Accident year paid claims net of any recoveries

b. (1 point)

Briefly discuss one advantage and one disadvantage of using each of the data aggregation methods in part a. above when performing an unpaid claim analysis.

CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE

EXAM 5 SAMPLE ANSWERS AND EXAMINER'S REPORT

| QUESTION 16 | | | | |
|--|--------|-------|------------------------|--|
| TOTAL POINT VALUE: 2.75 | | | LEARNING OBJECTIVE: B1 | |
| SAMPLE ANSWERS | | | | |
| Part a: 1.75 points | | | | |
| (i). Report year reported claims net of any recoveries | | | | |
| RY | 12 | 24 | 36 | |
| 2012 | 6,000 | 9,000 | 9,000 | |
| 2013 | 11,000 | 3,000 | | |
| 2014 | 3,000 | | | |
| (ii). Accident year paid claims net of any recoveries | | | | |
| AY | 12 | 24 | 36 | |
| 2012 | 2,000 | 9,000 | 12,000 | |
| 2013 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 2014 | 0 | | | |
| Part b: 1.0 point | | | | |
| One of the items from each section was needed to obtain credit. Note that this list of sample answers is not exhaustive, and other reasonable answers were accepted provided they were adequately supported. | | | | |
| i. | | | | |
| Report Year Advantage | | | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Claim counts are fixed at the end of the year• Useful when estimating unpaid claims for claims-made policies• Only settlement lag, no report lag in estimates• RY is appropriate when there's a change in social or legal climate that causes severity to be correlated with reported date more than accident date• Easy to see changes in laws which will predominantly show up when a claim is reported• More stable development patterns | | | | |
| Report Year Disadvantage | | | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Does not consider pure (total) IBNR (not useful when estimating IBNYR)• The disadvantage is that there is no pure IBNR, so report year can be used to estimate IBNER but not IBNR• Not as commonly used, less benchmark data available | | | | |

EXAM 5 SAMPLE ANSWERS AND EXAMINER'S REPORT

ii.

Accident Year Advantage

- It is a common aggregation method with a lot of benchmarks available
- There are many industry factors compiled on AY so can help supplement analysis
- Easy to understand and collect data
- Data readily available sooner than policy year aggregation
- Useful if there is a change in the legal or economic environment
- Valuable when there's a major claim event (catastrophe, weather, or large loss events)

Accident Year Disadvantage

- Provides an imperfect match between losses and exposure/premium
- Includes claims from policies at different rate levels
- It may mask the changes in policy limits (deductibles) that could have an effect on development patterns
- If there has been a shift in mix of business, method won't be accurate and may correlate better to policy year

EXAMINER'S REPORT

Part a

Candidates were expected to correctly list the aggregated values in a triangle of all 4 claims for both report year reported claims and accident year paid claims

Common errors include forgetting to subtract recoveries, creating an accident year reported triangle (instead of paid), forgetting to put the 36 month evaluation where applicable, assigning individual claims to the wrong accident/report year, calculating incremental instead of cumulative data, and not correctly calculating the appropriate reported or paid amounts.

Candidates generally did well on this part, with many receiving full credit.

Part b

Candidates were expected to list an advantage and disadvantage of report and accident year data aggregation methods.

Candidates struggled with this part. Common errors included: Listing vague advantages/disadvantages that are true of all or most data aggregation methods, listing advantages/disadvantages of reported vs paid triangles, defining report or accident year (but listing no advantages or disadvantages), misunderstanding of report year methodology, and listing incomplete or inaccurate statements.