19. (3.25 points)

Given the following information evaluated as of December 31, 2016:

Accident	Cumulative Paid Claims (\$000) as of (months)				
Year	12	24	36	48	
2013	1,000	2,000	3,100	3,410	
2014	1,500	3,300	4,785		
2015	2,000	3,600		•	
2016	2,500		.		

Accident	Cumulative Reported Claims (\$000) as of (months)				
Year	12	24	36	48	
2013	2013 3,000		3,960	4,000	
2014	4,200	5,250	5,775		
2015	5,100	6,630			
2016	7,500		-		

Accident	Case Outstanding (\$000) as of (months)					
Year	12	24	36	48		
2013	2,000	1,600	860	590		
2014	2,700	1,950	990			
2015	3,100	3,030				
2016	5,000		.			

a. (0.75 point)

Calculate the expected incremental reported claims for accident year 2016 in calendar year 2019 using the reported claim development technique.

b. (2 points)

Calculate the expected incremental reported claims for accident year 2016 in calendar year 2019 using the incremental paid to previous case outstanding technique.

c. (0.5 point)

Briefly describe whether the case outstanding technique is appropriate to project ultimate claims when performing an analysis on each of the following bases:

- i. Report year
- ii. Accident year

QUESTION 19	
TOTAL POINT VALUE: 3.25	LEARNING OBJECTIVE(S): B1, B3
SAMPLE ANSWERS	

Part a: 0.75 point

<u>Sample 1</u>						
AY	12-24	24-36	36-48			
2013	1.20	1.10	1.01			
2014	1.25	1.10				
2015	1.30					
Avg	1.25	1.10	1.01			
Selected	1.25	1.10	1.01			

AY 2016 Cumulative Reported Claims

@12months = 7500

@24 months = 7500 x 1.25 = 9375

@36 months = 9375 x 1.10 = 10312

@48 months = 10312 x 1.01 = 10415

Incremental reported in 2019 = 10415 – 10312 = 103

Sample 2

Reported Claim

Dev

AY	12-24	24-36	36-48
2013	1.20	1.10	1.01
2014	1.25	1.10	
2015	1.30		
Avg	1.25	1.10	1.01
Selected	1.25	1.10	1.01
CDF	1.39	1.111	1.01

7500 x 1.39 - 7500 x 1.25 x 1.1 = 103

Sample 3

Reported Claim

Dev

AY	12-24	24-36	36-48			
2013	1.20	1.10	1.01			
2014	1.25	1.10				
2015	1.30					
Avg	1.25	1.10	1.01			
Selected	1.25	1.10	1.01			
CDF	1.3888	1.111	1.01			
% reported @ age 36 = 99%						

7500 x 1.3	888 x (1-99	%) = 104					
Part b: 2 p	oints						
Sample 1							
Case Dev	elopment			_			
AY	12-24	24-36	36-48				
2013	0.800	0.538	0.686				
2014	0.722	0.508					
2015	0.977						
sel	0.832	0.523	0.686				
AY 2016 C	ase Outsta	nding					
12	24	36	<u>48</u>				
5000	4160	2176	1493				
4160 = 500							
Incremen	tal Paid						
AY	12	24	36	48			
2013	1000	1000	1100	310			
2014	1500	1800	1485				
2015	2000	1600					
2016	2500						
Incremen	tal Daid to	Caca Quite	anding				
	tal Paid to			1			
AY	12-24	24-36	36-48				
2013	0.500	0.688	0.360				
2014	0.667	0.762					
2015 sel	0.516 0.561	0.728	0.360				
Sei	0.561	0.726	0.300				
AY 2016 In	cremental I	Paid					
<u>12</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>48</u>				
2500	2805	3028	783				
2805 = 500	00 x 0.561						
Cumulativ	o Daid						
12	24	<u>36</u>	<u>48</u>				
2500	5305	8333	9116				
2300	3303	6333	9110				
Cumulativ	e Reported						
<u>12</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>48</u>				
7500	9465	10509	10609				
				10000	40500	00.000	
Expected I	ncremental	Reported	in CY 2019	= 10609 - 1	10509 = 1	.00,000	

Sample 2

Using same development factors from sample 1 above.

Case Outstanding

 $@36 = 5000 \times 0.832 \times 0.523 = 2176$

 $@48 = 2176 \times 0.686 = 1493$

Change in case = 1493-2176 = -683

Incremental paid

@48 = 2176 x 0.36 = 783

Incremental reported

@48 = 783 + -683 = 100

Part c: 0.5 point

Sample Responses for Report Year

- More appropriate on a reporting basis because assumes all claims known in first year
- Report year has no pure IBNR. The technique assumes there is only IBNER, thus it is appropriate.
- Case reserves set when claims reported, tracks with this technique

Sample Responses for Accident Year

- Not appropriate for immature years where not all claims have been reported.
- Appropriate if most claims are reported by the first maturity.

EXAMINER'S REPORT

Candidates were expected to understand and use the reported claims development technique and the incremental paid to previous case outstanding technique. Additionally, candidates were expected to know when those techniques are appropriate and when they are not.

Part a

Candidates were expected to know how to use the reported claims development technique given a triangle of cumulative reported claims. Candidates needed to understand how to use that triangle to project ultimate claims as well as the projected claims at immature ages.

Common errors included:

- Calculating the age-to-age factors but failing to calculate the cumulative development factors if taking an approach where cumulative factors were needed.
- Developing 2016 to ultimate but not calculating the incremental portion of that ultimate reported in calendar year 2019.

Part b

Candidates were expected to know how the incremental paid to previous case outstanding technique worked and to interpret the outputs. Candidates were expected to construct the incremental paid to case outstanding triangle, make selections, and calculate the expected incremental reported claims.

Common errors included:

• Calculating the incremental paid claims instead of the incremental reported claims.

Part c

Candidates were expected to know when the incremental paid to previous case outstanding technique was appropriate to use given different data aggregation options.

Common errors included:

- Discussing accident year and report year in general without any explanation of how the technique works for each of these aggregation options.
- Providing an assessment without an explanation, such as "appropriate" or "not appropriate".