18. (1.75 points)

Given the following data evaluated as of December 31, 2018:

Accident	Cumulative Paid Claims (\$000) as of (months)					
Year	12	24	36	48		
2015	1,200	2,325	2,900	3,100		
2016	1,800	3,300	4,100			
2017	1,500	2,800				
2018	1,700					

Accident	Case Outstanding (\$000) as of (months)					
Year	12	24	36	48		
2015	1,500	800	400	160		
2016	2,000	1,150	575			
2017	1,750	975				
2018	2,200		*			

1.15	48-Ult paid claim to prior case outstanding development factor

There is no paid or reported development beyond 60 months.

a. (1.5 points)

Estimate unpaid claims for accident year 2018 as of December 31, 2018 using a case outstanding development technique.

b. (0.25 point)

Briefly describe a scenario where it would be appropriate to use the case outstanding development technique.

FALL 2019 EXAM 5 – SAMPLE ANSWERS AND EXAMINER'S REPORT

QUESTION 18						
TOTAL POINT VALUE: 1.75 LEARNING OBJECTIVE(S): B3						
SAMPI	SAMPLE ANSWERS					
Part a:	Part a: 1.5 points					
Sample	<u> 2 1</u>					
		aid Clai				
<u>AY</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>36</u>	48		
2015	1200	1125	575	200 (=3100-2900)		
2016	1800	1500	800			
2017	1500	1300				
2018	1700					
Case to	o Prior (Case				
AY		12-24	<u>24-36</u>	<u>36-48</u>		
2015		0.532	0.50	0.40 (=160/400)		
2016		0.575	0.50			
2017		0.557				
Selecte	ed	0.555	0.50	0.40		
In or or or	ontol D	a:d +a D	rior Coss			
			rior Case	=	48-Ult	
<u>AY</u> 2015		<u>2-24</u> 0.75	0.719	36-48 0.50 (=200/400)	48-OIL	
2015		0.75	0.696	0.50 (-200/400)		
2017		0.742	0.050			
	Selected 0.747 0.708 0.50 1.15					
	-					
Case O	utstand	ding				
<u>AY 12 24 36 48</u>						
2018	22	00 12	21 61	0.5 244.2 (=610.5	*0.4)	
Raid on Casa						
<u>Paid on Case</u> <u>AY 12 24 36 48</u>						
2018			24 1693.40		3 (=244.2*1.15)	
2018 1700 1693.40 804.47 280.83 (=244.2*1.15)						
AY 2018 Unpaid Claims = 1693.4 + 804.47 + 280.83 = 3093.94						

FALL 2019 EXAM 5 – SAMPLE ANSWERS AND EXAMINER'S REPORT

Sample 2

AY 2015 Ultimate Paid Claims = 48-Ult Paid to Prior Case O/S * Prior Case O/S at 48 months + Paid Claims at 48 months

= 1.15 * 160 + 3100 = 3284

Paid Development Factors

<u>AY</u>	<u>12-24</u>	<u>24-36</u>	<u>36-48</u>	<u>48-Ult</u>
2015	1.938	1.247	1.069	1.059 (=3284/3100)
2016	1.833	1.242		
2017	1.867			
Average	1.879	1.245	1.069	1.059

12-Ult Factor = 1.879 * 1.245 * 1.069 * 1.059 = 2.648

Reported Claims

<u>AY</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>Ult</u>
2015	2700	3125	3300	3260 (=3100+160)	3284 (=Paid Ultimate)
2016	3800	4450	4675		
2017	3250	3775			
2018	3900				

Reported Development Factors

<u>AY</u>	<u>12-24</u>	<u>24-36</u>	<u>36-48</u>	<u>48-Ult</u>
2015	1.157	1.056	0.988	1.007 (=3284/3260)
2016	1.171	1.051		
2017	1.162			
Average	1.163	1.054	0.988	1.007

12-Ult Factor = 1.163 * 1.054 * 0.988 * 1.007 = 1.219

Case O/S 12-Ult Development Factor = ((1.219 - 1) * 2.648) / (2.648 - 1.219) + 1.00 = 1.4058

AY 2018 Unpaid Claims = 2200 * 1.4058 = 3092.76

Part b: 0.25 point

Sample 1

When pricing a claims-made policy which has no pure IBNR.

Sample 2

It is appropriate to use this method with short-tailed lines of business when nearly all of the claims have been reported in the first period of development.

Sample 3

For self-insured companies that only have case outstanding data and can use industry paid/reported CDF's to calculate the unpaid factor.

FALL 2019 EXAM 5 – SAMPLE ANSWERS AND EXAMINER'S REPORT

EXAMINER'S REPORT

Candidates were expected to know the mechanics and assumptions of the Case Outstanding method and be able to apply one of the methods to the provided data. They were also expected to know when the Case Outstanding method is appropriate to use.

Part a

Candidates were expected to calculate the 2018 Accident Year Unpaid Claims using the Case Outstanding method of their choosing.

Common mistakes included:

- Calculating Ultimate Claims and not Unpaid Claims
- Not including the 48-Ultimate Paid on Prior Case development factor or applying the factor incorrectly
- Using another method besides one of the two Case Outstanding methods
- Using Cumulative Paid Claims and development factors instead of Incremental Paid Claims and factors
- Using current year Case Outstanding instead of prior year Case Outstanding when calculating Paid on Prior Case Ratios

Part b

Candidates were expected to provide a scenario where using the Case Outstanding method would be appropriate or preferred over other methods.

Common mistakes included:

- Listing an assumption of the method rather than a scenario
- Describing scenarios where the method would not be appropriate to use