

Reading: Friedland 13 (Berquist-Sherman Methods)
Model: 2013.Fall #21
Problem Type: Reserving Methods - PBS (Paid Berq-Sherm)

F-13 (020) 2013.Fall Q21 (Problem)

Find Use the paid Berquist-Sherman method to calculate the ultimate for AY 2025 using both linear interpolation and exponential regression to restate the cumulative paid losses.

Given

AY	<u>Cumulative</u> Paid Counts (CPC)				Ultimate Counts
	12	24	36	48	
2022	250	400	450	500	500
2023	225	360	405		450
2024	250	500			625
2025	175				700

** Assume no development past 48 mths.*

AY	<u>Cumulative</u> Paid Loss (\$000s) (CPL)			
	12	24	36	48
2022	2,000	2,800	4,340	5,425
2023	2,100	3,360	4,872	
2024	2,000	3,750		
2025	1,600			

AY	parameters for 2-point exponential fit: $y = ae^{bx}$									
	0 - 12		12 - 24		24 - 36		36 - 48		48 - 60	
	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b
2022	use 12 - 24 values		1,142	0.00225	84	0.00880	583	0.00447	not needed	
2023	use 12 - 24 values		959	0.00349	172	0.00829				
2024	use 12 - 24 values		1,067	0.00252						
2025	use 12 - 24 values									

** My solution rounds the adjusted counts to the nearest integer for clarity of presentation, but this is not a requirement. It might be better to keep 1 decimal place because the restated losses should then be more accurate.*

Step 1a calculate CDR as: CPC / UC ($UC = \text{Ultimate Counts}$)

F-13 (020) 2013.Fall Q21 (Solution)

AY	Claims Disposal Rate (CDR)			
	12	24	36	48
2022	0.500	0.800	0.900	1.000
2023	0.500	0.800	0.900	
2024	0.400	0.800		
2025	0.250			
last CY	0.250	0.800	0.900	1.000

We assume the latest diagonal reflects current disposal rates. (This is the most recent CY.)
We will restate all AYs to be at the level of this most recent CY.

<-- select LATEST DIAGONAL (not all-period-average)

Step 1b restate CPC using CDRs from last CY

This "range" table is just a visual aid

AY	Restated Cumulative Paid Counts (CPC)			
	12	24	36	48
2025	125	400	450	500
2026	113	360	405	
2027	156	500		
2028	175			

====>

AY	Range Relative to Original Paid Counts			
	12	24	36	48
2022	0-12	24-36	36-48	48-60
2023	0-12	24-36	36-48	
2024	0-12	24-36		
2025	12-24			

Ex: $125 = 0.25 \times 500$
Ex: $360 = 0.8 \times 450$

The range table is helpful in visualizing which data points or which regression parameters to use in Step 2.

Step 2 restate CPL using linear interpolation

or... restate CPL using exponential regression interpolation

AY	Restated Cumulative Paid Loss (CPL)			
	12	24	36	48
2022	1,000	2,800	4,340	5,425
2023	1,055	3,360	4,872	
2024	1,248	3,750		
2025	1,600			

Exs:

$1,000 = (125-0)/(250-0) \times (2000-0) + 0$
 $3,360 = (360-360)/(405-360) \times (4872-3360) + 3360$
 $4,340 = (450-450)/(500-450) \times (5425-4340) + 4340$

AY	Restated Cumulative Paid Loss (CPL)			
	12	24	36	48
2022	1,513	2,838	4,358	5,425
2023	1,423	3,401	4,872	
2024	1,581	3,750		
2025	1,600			

$1,513 = 1142 \times \text{EXP}(0.00225 \times 125)$
 $3,401 = 172 \times \text{EXP}(0.00829 \times 360)$
 $4,358 = 583 \times \text{EXP}(0.00447 \times 450)$

Step 3a apply development method to restated CPL (Linear)

apply development method to restated CPL (Exp)

LDFs	AY	12-24	24-36	36-48	48-ult
	2022	2.800	1.550	1.250	
	2023	3.185	1.450		
	2024	3.005			
	2025				tail factor:
	selected	2.997	1.500	1.250	1.000

or...

LDFs	AY	12-24	24-36	36-48	48-ult
	2022	1.876	1.536	1.245	
	2023	2.390	1.433		
	2024	2.372			
	2025				tail factor:
	selected	2.213	1.485	1.245	1.000

CDFs		12-24	24-36	36-48	48-ult
	age -> ult	5.619	1.875	1.250	1.000

CDFs		12-24	24-36	36-48	48-ult
	age -> ult	4.089	1.848	1.245	1.000

UIts.		'25@12	'24@24	'23@36	'22@48
	diagonal	1,600	3,750	4,872	5,425
	ultimate	8,990	7,031	6,090	5,425

UIts.		'25@12	'24@24	'23@36	'22@48
	diagonal	1,600	3,750	4,872	5,425
	ultimate	6,543	6,931	6,066	5,425

Step 3b ultimate for AY 2025 = 8,990 <-- estimate based on LINEAR INTERPOLATION

ultimate for AY 2025 = 6,543 <-- estimate based on EXPONENTIAL REGRESSION INTERPOLATION

Step 3c The estimate of ultimate using exponential regression differs from the estimate using liner interpolation by:

(Step 3c was just for fun to see if there is any real difference between linear and exponential interpolation.)

-27.22%