Reading: Friedland 07 (Development Technique)

Model: Simple Example of Development Technique

Problem Type: Paid Claims Development

Find Calculate ultimate claims for all accident years using data as of year-end

2023

Given

cumulative paid claims

AY	12	24	36	48
2020	48	140	201	240
2021	48	140	201	
2022	48	140		
2023	48			

Assume no development past 48 months. In other words, the triangle is fully dveloped by 48 months.

The paid claims on the latest diagonal are in brown font for instructional purposes within the solution.

									Demo 07:01 Development (s
	Step A	====>	link ratios	for paid clai	m triangle				
			AY	12-24	24-36	36-48	48-ult		Tail Factor: The triangle is fully developed as of
			2013	2.924	1.430	1.196		•	48 months. That means the 48-ult tail factor
			2014	2.924	1.430				is equal to 1.0
			2015	2.924					
			2016						
	Step B	====>	selected	2.924	1.430	1.196	1.000		
ı								•	
	Step C	====>	calculate a	ge-to-ultim	ate LDFs				
				12-ult	24-ult	36-ult	48-ult		
			age -> ult	5.000	1.710	1.196	1.000	<=====	(selected) x (prior [age -> ult])
								•	(calculate from right-to-left)
	Step D	====>	calculate u	Itimate loss	es based or	n latest paid	losses		
				'23@12	'22@24	'21@36	'20@48		
			diagonal	48	140	201	240		
	final answ	ers ===>	ultimate	240	240	240	240	<=====	(diagonal) x (age -> ult)
									-

Sometimes it's nice to present the ultimates in a column to the right of the original triangle:

cumulative paid claims						
AY	12	24	36	48	u	
2020	48	140	201	240		
2021	48	140	201			
2022	48	140				
2023	48					

	real	
ultimates	ultimates	% error
240	240	0%
240	240	0%
240	240	0%
240	240	0%

Interesting side note:

This example was created using my simulation software **SimPolicy**. One of the input parameters to the simulation is the value of the ultimate loss. For this simulation, each AY was given the same **ultimate loss of 240**. That means we can see how accurate our estimates are. More to the point, we can often see how **inaccurate** our estimates are regardless of how we select our LDFs (Loss Development Factors) in Step B.

Moral:

Don't agonize for too long over selecting LDFs. In a real-life situation there will be a lot of noise or random variation that cannot be fully accounted for by any reserving method. Do the best you can with the information you've got but make allowances for the fact that your estimates will never be exactly right, especially for AYs at early stages of development.

This example:

Here our estimates **were** perfectly accurate. But that's because the development pattern was consistent across all AYs. In other words, the LDFs in each column were all the same so it was obvious how to make your selection.. This is not a realistic situation. There will always be noise (random variation) and other influences on the data that no method can fully capture.