

Reading: Werner 09: Risk Classification
Model: Univariate Methods for Rating Variable Differentials
Problem Type: Pure Premium Method - Adjusted

W-09 (040) - (Problem 1)

Find Propose rating factors for rating variable 2, adjusting for distributional bias.

Given

Exposure Distribution

variable 1	variable 2		
	2A	2B	2C
1A	195	84	6
1B	92	91	106
1C	13	109	143

variable 1 has rating levels: 1A, 1B, 1C
variable 2 has rating levels: 2A, 2B, 2C

Current Relativities for Rating Variable 1

variable 1	relativity
1A	1.60
1B	1.00
1C	0.72

base level for variable 2 is

2B

Loss Distribution

variable 1	variable 2		
	2A	2B	2C
1A	70,785	37,128	3,060
1B	42,964	47,502	57,664
1C	6,409	60,059	92,521

Step 1 calculate variable 2 relativites as a weighted average of variable 1 relativities

=> you can do these calculations all in 1 table - I broke it up to (hopefully?) make it easier to see what's going on

(2A exposures)			(2B exposures)			(2C exposures)		
var 1	var 1 rels	weights	var 1	var 1 rels	weights	var 1	var 1 rels	weights
1A	1.6000	195	1A	1.6000	84	1A	1.6000	6
1B	1.0000	92	1B	1.0000	91	1B	1.0000	106
1C	0.7200	13	1C	0.7200	109	1C	0.7200	143
total	1.3779	300	total	1.0700	284	total	0.8571	255
(wtd avg)			(wtd avg)			(wtd avg)		

Step 2 use step 1 to calculate adjusted exposures for rating variable 2

$$\text{total adjusted exposures} = (\text{wtd avg relativity}) \times (\text{total unadjusted exposures})$$

level of var 2	wtd avg relativity	total unadj. expos.	total adjusted expos.	
2A	1.3779	300	413.36	= 1.3779 x 300
2B	1.0700	284	303.88	= 1.07 x 284
2C	0.8571	255	218.56	= 0.8571 x 255

Step 3 now just apply the "regular" pure premium method but use the adjusted exposures

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
level of var 2	adjusted expos.	reported L + ALAE	pure premium	indicated relativity	rebased indicated relativity	
2A	413.36	120,158	290.7	0.6506	0.6105	<== base level
2B	303.88	144,689	476.1	1.0657	1.0000	
2C	218.56	153,245	701.2	1.5694	1.4726	
Total	935.80	418,092	446.8	1.0000	0.938	

(final answers in green)

(4) = (3) / (2)

(5) = (4) / (Tot4)

(6) = (5) / (Base5)

where Base5 = 1.066

Reading: Werner 09: Risk Classification
Model: Univariate Methods for Rating Variable Differentials
Problem Type: Pure Premium Method - Adjusted

W-09 (040) - (Problem 2)

Find Propose rating factors for rating variable 2, adjusting for distributional bias.

Given

Exposure Distribution

variable 1	variable 2		
	2A	2B	2C
1A	176	92	5
1B	94	91	96
1C	19	104	131

variable 1 has rating levels: 1A, 1B, 1C
variable 2 has rating levels: 2A, 2B, 2C

Current Relativities for Rating Variable 1

variable 1	relativity
1A	1.00
1B	0.51
1C	1.52

base level for variable 2 is

2A

Loss Distribution

variable 1	variable 2		
	2A	2B	2C
1A	61,776	41,400	2,505
1B	42,300	46,592	53,760
1C	9,367	58,136	82,530

Step 1 calculate variable 2 relativites as a weighted average of variable 1 relativities

=> you can do these calculations all in 1 table - I broke it up to (hopefully?) make it easier to see what's going on

(2A exposures)			(2B exposures)			(2C exposures)		
var 1	var 1 rels	weights	var 1	var 1 rels	weights	var 1	var 1 rels	weights
1A	1.0000	176	1A	1.0000	92	1A	1.0000	5
1B	0.5100	94	1B	0.5100	91	1B	0.5100	96
1C	1.5200	19	1C	1.5200	104	1C	1.5200	131
total	0.8748	289	total	1.0331	287	total	1.0909	232
(wtd avg)			(wtd avg)			(wtd avg)		

Step 2 use step 1 to calculate adjusted exposures for rating variable 2

$$\text{total adjusted exposures} = (\text{wtd avg relativity}) \times (\text{total unadjusted exposures})$$

level of var 2	wtd avg relativity	total unadj. expos.	total adjusted expos.	
2A	0.8748	289	252.82	= 0.8748 x 289
2B	1.0331	287	296.49	= 1.0331 x 287
2C	1.0909	232	253.08	= 1.0909 x 232

Step 3 now just apply the "regular" pure premium method but use the adjusted exposures

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
level of var 2	adjusted expos.	reported L + ALAE	pure premium	indicated relativity	rebased indicated relativity	
2A	252.82	113,443	448.7	0.9038	1.0000	<== base level
2B	296.49	146,128	492.9	0.9927	1.0984	
2C	253.08	138,795	548.4	1.1046	1.2222	
Total	802.39	398,366	496.5	1.0000	1.106	

(final answers in green)

(4) = (3) / (2)

(5) = (4) / (Tot4)

(6) = (5) / (Base5)

where Base5 = 0.904

Reading: Werner 09: Risk Classification
Model: Univariate Methods for Rating Variable Differentials
Problem Type: Pure Premium Method - Adjusted

W-09 (040) - (Problem 3)

Find Propose rating factors for rating variable 2, adjusting for distributional bias.

Given

Exposure Distribution

variable 1	variable 2		
	2A	2B	2C
1A	191	113	7
1B	96	93	96
1C	25	108	135

variable 1 has rating levels: 1A, 1B, 1C
variable 2 has rating levels: 2A, 2B, 2C

Current Relativities for Rating Variable 1

variable 1	relativity
1A	1.35
1B	0.71
1C	1.00

base level for variable 2 is

2C

Loss Distribution

variable 1	variable 2		
	2A	2B	2C
1A	72,389	49,720	3,493
1B	43,584	48,081	52,800
1C	12,250	58,644	87,210

Step 1 calculate variable 2 relativites as a weighted average of variable 1 relativities

=> you can do these calculations all in 1 table - I broke it up to (hopefully?) make it easier to see what's going on

(2A exposures)			(2B exposures)			(2C exposures)		
var 1	var 1 rels	weights	var 1	var 1 rels	weights	var 1	var 1 rels	weights
1A	1.3500	191	1A	1.3500	113	1A	1.3500	7
1B	0.7100	96	1B	0.7100	93	1B	0.7100	96
1C	1.0000	25	1C	1.0000	108	1C	1.0000	135
total	1.1250	312	total	1.0401	314	total	0.8933	238
(wtd avg)			(wtd avg)			(wtd avg)		

Step 2 use step 1 to calculate adjusted exposures for rating variable 2

$$\text{total adjusted exposures} = (\text{wtd avg relativity}) \times (\text{total unadjusted exposures})$$

level of var 2	wtd avg relativity	total unadj. expos.	total adjusted expos.	
2A	1.1250	312	351.01	= 1.125 x 312
2B	1.0401	314	326.58	= 1.0401 x 314
2C	0.8933	238	212.61	= 0.8933 x 238

Step 3 now just apply the "regular" pure premium method but use the adjusted exposures

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
level of var 2	adjusted expos.	reported L + ALAE	pure premium	indicated relativity	rebased indicated relativity	
2A	351.01	128,223	365.3	0.7595	0.5412	
2B	326.58	156,445	479.0	0.9960	0.7097	
2C	212.61	143,503	675.0	1.4033	1.0000	<== base level
Total	890.20	428,171	481.0	1.0000	0.713	

(final answers in green)

(4) = (3) / (2)

(5) = (4) / (Tot4)

(6) = (5) / (Base5)

where Base5 = 1.403

Reading: Werner 09: Risk Classification
Model: Univariate Methods for Rating Variable Differentials
Problem Type: Pure Premium Method - Adjusted

W-09 (040) - (Problem 4)

Find Propose rating factors for rating variable 2, adjusting for distributional bias.

Given

Exposure Distribution

variable 1	variable 2		
	2A	2B	2C
1A	183	104	6
1B	90	100	92
1C	13	100	126

variable 1 has rating levels: 1A, 1B, 1C
variable 2 has rating levels: 2A, 2B, 2C

Current Relativities for Rating Variable 1

variable 1	relativity
1A	1.00
1B	0.44
1C	1.41

base level for variable 2 is

2A

Loss Distribution

variable 1	variable 2		
	2A	2B	2C
1A	65,331	48,256	2,952
1B	41,490	53,000	49,772
1C	6,461	54,100	78,372

Step 1 calculate variable 2 relativites as a weighted average of variable 1 relativities

=> you can do these calculations all in 1 table - I broke it up to (hopefully?) make it easier to see what's going on

(2A exposures)

var 1	var 1 rels	weights
1A	1.0000	183
1B	0.4400	90
1C	1.4100	13
total	0.8424	286

(wtd avg)

(2B exposures)

var 1	var 1 rels	weights
1A	1.0000	104
1B	0.4400	100
1C	1.4100	100
total	0.9507	304

(wtd avg)

(2C exposures)

var 1	var 1 rels	weights
1A	1.0000	6
1B	0.4400	92
1C	1.4100	126
total	1.0006	224

(wtd avg)

Step 2 use step 1 to calculate adjusted exposures for rating variable 2

<i>total adjusted exposures</i>	=	<i>(wtd avg relativity) x (total unadjusted exposures)</i>
---------------------------------	---	--

level of var 2	wtd avg relativity	total unadj. expos.	total adjusted expos.	
2A	0.8424	286	240.93	= 0.8424 x 286
2B	0.9507	304	289.00	= 0.9507 x 304
2C	1.0006	224	224.14	= 1.0006 x 224

Step 3 now just apply the "regular" pure premium method but use the adjusted exposures

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
level of var 2	adjusted expos.	reported L + ALAE	pure premium	indicated relativity	rebased indicated relativity	
2A	240.93	113,282	470.2	0.8870	1.0000	<== base level
2B	289.00	155,356	537.6	1.0141	1.1433	
2C	224.14	131,096	584.9	1.1033	1.2439	
Total	754.07	399,734	530.1	1.0000	1.127	

(final answers in green)

(4) = (3) / (2)

(5) = (4) / (Tot4)

(6) = (5) / (Base5)

where Base5 = 0.887