

Reading: Werner 14: Implementation
Model: Text Example
Problem Type: Limiting Premium Effect of a Single Variable ([Base Level](#))

W-14 (085) - (Problem 1)

Find Calculate the relativities that satisfy the given requirements.

overall rate change	9%
maximum premium increase for any level of the rating variable	18%

Given **Rating variable information prior to capping**

level	premium	current	indicated
A	549,000	0.85	0.69
B	316,000	1.00	1.00
C	170,000	1.33	1.17
total	1,035,000	--	--

Step 1 calculate total %-change for each rating variable level

(1) level	(2) premium	(3) current	(4) indicated	(5) change	(6) off-bal	(7) overall	(8) total chg	(9) new prem	
A	549,000	0.85	0.69	-18.82%	1.1359	9%	0.50%	551,762	
B	316,000	1.00	1.00	0.00%	1.1359	9%	23.81%	391,234	<==== base level
C	170,000	1.33	1.17	-12.03%	1.1359	9%	8.91%	185,154	
total	1,035,000	--	--	-11.96%	1.1359	9%	9.00%	1,128,150	

= Δs%

$$(5) = (4) / (3) - 1.0$$

$$(Tot5) = (5) \text{ weighted by } (2)$$

$$(6) = 1.0 / (1.0 + (Tot5)) = \text{off-balance} = 1 / (1 + \Delta s\%)$$

$$(7) = \text{given}$$

$$(8) = [1.0 + (5)] \times (6) \times [1.0 + (7)] - 1.0$$

$$(9) = (2) \times (1.0 + (8))$$

Step 2 since the BASE LEVEL change exceeds the cap, we will adjust the base rate to bring it down

$$\begin{aligned} \text{base rate adjustment} &= (1 + \text{max increase}) / (1 + \text{total base level change from column (8)}) \\ &= 1.18 / 1.2381 \\ &= 0.9531 \quad \text{<==== base rate adjustment} \end{aligned}$$

Step 2b calculate the premium shortfall created by the base rate decrease in step 2a

$$\begin{aligned} \text{revised premium for B} &= (9) \times (\text{base rate decrease}) \\ &= 391,234 \times 0.9531 \\ &= 372,880 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{shortfall} = 391,234 - 372,880 = 18,354 \quad \text{<==== premium shortfall}$$

Step 3a redistribute this shortfall across levels A and C by increasing their relativities by a proportional amount

$$\text{premium for levels A \& C} = 551,762 + 185,154 = 736,916$$

$$\text{required relativity increase} = 18,354 / 736,916 = 2.491\% \quad \text{<==== A \& C relativity increase}$$

Step 3b BUT, we must now back out the base rate decrease from A & C so we don't "lose" any of the new premium

Level	original indicated		relativity adjustment		base rate adjustment		adjusted relativities	
A	0.69	x	1.0249	/	0.9531	=	0.7420	<==== revised Level A relativity
B	1.00						1.0000	<==== base level (no change)
C	1.17	x	1.0249	/	0.9531	=	1.2582	<==== revised Level C relativity

\wedge
 $/ \quad | \quad \backslash$
 $|$
 $|$
 final answers

Reading: Werner 14: Implementation
Model: Text Example
Problem Type: Limiting Premium Effect of a Single Variable ([Base Level](#))

W-14 (085) - (Problem 2)

Find Calculate the relativities that satisfy the given requirements.

overall rate change	5%
maximum premium increase for any level of the rating variable	9%

Given **Rating variable information prior to capping**

level	premium	current	indicated
A	530,000	0.90	0.79
B	357,000	1.00	1.00
C	184,000	1.25	1.06
total	1,071,000	--	--

Step 1 calculate total %-change for each rating variable level

(1) level	(2) premium	(3) current	(4) indicated	(5) change	(6) off-bal	(7) overall	(8) total chg	(9) new prem	
A	530,000	0.90	0.79	-12.22%	1.0948	5%	0.90%	534,795	<==== base level
B	357,000	1.00	1.00	0.00%	1.0948	5%	14.95%	410,389	
C	184,000	1.25	1.06	-15.20%	1.0948	5%	-2.52%	179,366	
total	1,071,000	--	--	-8.66%	1.0948	5%	5.00%	1,124,550	

= Δs%

$$(5) = (4) / (3) - 1.0$$

$$(Tot5) = (5) \text{ weighted by } (2)$$

$$(6) = 1.0 / (1.0 + (Tot5)) = \text{off-balance} = 1 / (1 + \Delta s\%)$$

$$(7) = \text{given}$$

$$(8) = [1.0 + (5)] \times (6) \times [1.0 + (7)] - 1.0$$

$$(9) = (2) \times (1.0 + (8))$$

Step 2 since the BASE LEVEL change exceeds the cap, we will adjust the base rate to bring it down

$$\begin{aligned} \text{base rate adjustment} &= (1 + \text{max increase}) / (1 + \text{total base level change from column (8)}) \\ &= 1.09 / 1.1495 \\ &= 0.9482 \quad \text{<==== base rate adjustment} \end{aligned}$$

Step 2b calculate the premium shortfall created by the base rate decrease in step 2a

$$\begin{aligned} \text{revised premium for B} &= (9) \times (\text{base rate decrease}) \\ &= 410,389 \times 0.9482 \\ &= 389,130 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{shortfall} = 410,389 - 389,130 = 21,259 \quad \text{<==== premium shortfall}$$

Step 3a redistribute this shortfall across levels A and C by increasing their relativities by a proportional amount

$$\text{premium for levels A \& C} = 534,795 + 179,366 = 714,161$$

$$\text{required relativity increase} = 21,259 / 714,161 = 2.977\% \quad \text{<==== A \& C relativity increase}$$

Step 3b BUT, we must now back out the base rate decrease from A & C so we don't "lose" any of the new premium

Level	original indicated		relativity adjustment		base rate adjustment	=	adjusted relativities	
A	0.79	x	1.0298	/	0.9482	=	0.8580	<==== revised Level A relativity
B	1.00						1.0000	<==== base level (no change)
C	1.06	x	1.0298	/	0.9482	=	1.1512	<==== revised Level C relativity

\wedge
 $/ \quad | \quad \backslash$
 $|$
 $|$
 final answers